



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/582,548

06/09/2006

Minoru Sugiyama

3163-061714

4734

28289 7590 05/12/2010

THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.
700 KOPPERS BUILDING
436 SEVENTH AVENUE
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219

EXAMINER

MARKS, JACOB B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/12/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/582,548	Applicant(s) SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner JACOB MARKS	Art Unit 1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-01-2010; 12-03-2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment dated 01-28-2010 was received. Claim 1 was amended. Claims 9-15 were cancelled. Claim 16 was added.

2. The text of those sections of 35 U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the previous office action dated 10-28-2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munshi (US Pat. Pub. 2003/0026063) in view of Skotheim et al. (US Pat. No. 6,797,4238) on claims 1-8 is withdrawn because applicant has amended independent claim 1.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Munshi (US Pat. Pub. 2003/0026063) in view of "Skotheim et al. (US Pat. No. 6,797,42328 and as evidenced by "In situ SEM study of the interfaces in plastic lithium cell," Journal of Power Sources Vol. 81-82, Sept. 1999, pgs. 918-921, F. Orsini et al. (hereinafter Orsini et al.).

Regarding claims 1-3, Munshi discloses an electrochemical capacitor comprising: a thin polymer film with electrolyte absorbed therein (polymer electrolyte), an anode and a cathode (abstract). Electrodes of electrochemical capacitors are inherently polarizable. Munshi discloses an anode (16 and 20) comprising a metal layer 16 and an active material layer 20 that are formed on the electrolyte 70 (par. 84, fig. 2). Munshi

Art Unit: 1795

discloses that the electrolyte may be composed of a lithium salt (par. 34). Munshi further teaches that active materials based on lithium battery active materials have the advantage of allowing prolonged discharge times and increased capacity (par. 79). Munshi discloses the use of transition metal oxides as the active material (79). A capacitor with a transition metal oxide active material and lithium salt electrolyte would inherently be capable of releasing lithium ions through a reversible electrochemical oxidation-reduction reaction. Munshi does not disclose that a lithium-metal alloy, or more specifically a lithium-gold alloy can be used as part of the active material.

However, Skotheim et al. disclose an anode active material for a lithium ion battery comprising lithium and a lithium metal alloy, wherein the lithium may be alloyed with gold (abstract, col. 16 lines 24-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the active material containing a gold-lithium alloy, as taught by Skotheim et al., in the capacitor of Munshi, because Munshi discloses that active materials based on lithium battery active materials have prolonged discharge times and increased capacity.

The combination of Munshi and Skotheim et al. does not specifically disclose that lithium electrode (metal component) is contained in the polymer electrolyte, that the outer electrolyte is rich in lithium electrode material (metal) or that the electrolyte center is rich in electrolyte. However, Orsini et al. discloses that during normal charge and discharge of lithium anodes that lithium forms dendrites that can pierce the electrolyte components (pgs. 919-920, fig. 4 and 5). Therefore, the combination of Munshi and Skotheim would inherently form dendrites of lithium (metal component) in the polymer

Art Unit: 1795

electrolyte during normal use of the capacitor. Such lithium dendrites would cause the lithium (metal component) to be rich in the outer regions of the electrolyte and would cause the electrolyte to be rich in the center. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a rich metal region in the outer area of the electrolyte and a rich electrolyte region in the center of the electrolyte of the Munshi and Skotheim combination because Orsini et al. disclose that lithium dendrites can form during the normal charging and discharging of lithium electrodes.

Regarding claim 4, Munshi discloses that the cathode and the anode should consist of similar materials. Therefore, the combination of Munshi and Skotheim would inherently have the same gold-lithium anode and cathode as discussed with respect to claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, Munshi discloses that the electrolyte may be composed of a lithium salt (par. 34). Munshi further teaches that active materials based on lithium battery active materials have the advantage of allowing prolonged discharge times and increased capacity (par. 79). Munshi discloses the use of transition metal oxides as the active material (79). A capacitor with a transition metal oxide active material and lithium salt electrolyte would inherently have lithium deposited on the electrode during charging and discharging. The combination of Munshi and Skotheim et al. would inherently have the lithium alloy form on the lithium gold alloy component of the active material.

Regarding claim 6, Munshi discloses that the polymer electrolyte may be Nafion or poly(bis(methoxy-ethoxy-ethoxide))-phos- phazene (MEEP), which are ion exchange resins (par. 33).

Regarding claim 7, Munshi disclose an anode, a cathode and an electrolyte (electrode assembly) (abstract).

Regarding claim 8, Munshi discloses capacitor electrodes with high capacity. The combination of Munshi and Skotheim et al. would inherently have a capacity of $20\text{F}/\text{cm}^3$.

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Munshi and Skotheim et al. does not specifically disclose that lithium electrode forms a projecting part in a boundary region of the polymer electrolyte in the shape of an icicle. However, Orsini et al. discloses that during normal charge and discharge of lithium anodes that lithium forms dendrites that can pierce the electrolyte components (pgs. 919-920, fig. 4 and 5). Therefore, the combination of Munshi and Skotheim would inherently form dendrites of lithium (metal component) in the polymer electrolyte during normal use of the capacitor. Such lithium dendrites would be in the shape of an icicle (fig. 5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the electrode with a projecting part in the shape of an icicle in the combination of the Munshi and Skotheim because Orsini et al. disclose that lithium dendrites can form during the normal charging and discharging of lithium electrodes.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACOB MARKS whose telephone number is (571)270-7873. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-5:00 alt Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on 571-272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacob Marks/

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795